

Technical Bulletin #112

A REVIEW OF INTERIOR RADIATION CONTROL COATING RESEARCH

An Interior Radiation Control Coating (IRCC) is a low-emittance paint or coating applied to building materials to reduce the thermal transmission across the space. Emittance or emissivity refers to the percent of radiant heat that a hot object emits or radiates. Building materials like wood and masonry typically have a very high thermal emittance and can have upwards of 80% radiant heat transmission. Due to the low-emissive properties of the coating, a building product's thermal emissivity can be reduced down to 25% or less.

While similar in function, an IRCC is notably different from a sheet radiant barrier. A sheet radiant barrier is a separate building component with a low emissive surface (0.10 or less) added to the building assembly, while an IRCC is a coating applied to an existing building component to lower that component's emissivity. To qualify (under the ASTM definition) as an IRCC, the coating must be able to reduce the emissivity to 0.25 or less.

IRCC technology has been proven by numerous laboratory and field experiments to significantly reduce the radiant heat transfer across vented spaces between roofs and ceilings of buildings. The exact reduction in radiant heat transfer can be partly explained by the equation below, which represents the net transfer of heat by radiation between two surfaces (e.g., the roofing materials, and the top surface of the ceiling insulation). Basically, the IRCC works by altering the emittance value ε of at least one of the surfaces in the assembly. Note that this equation is oversimplified in many ways, but it presents a snapshot of the physics involved when an IRCC is installed in the space.

$$\dot{q}_{12} = \frac{\sigma(T_1^4 - T_2^4)}{\frac{1}{\varepsilon_1} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_2} - 1}$$

In this equation, ε represents the surface emissivity. The term σ is called the Stefan-Boltzmann constant and it has a value of 0.1714 Btu/hr ·ft²·oR⁴, and T is the temperature of the surface in oR (degrees Rankine). A degree Rankine is equal to the temperature in o F plus 459.69. For simplicity, this equation assumes that the areas of the top surface (e.g., the roof) and the bottom surface (e.g., the insulation) are equal and that all the radiant heat that is emitted by the roof arrives at the insulation.

The two most common materials used for roof decks in residential buildings are plywood and oriented strand board (OSB). The average emissivities of plywood and OSB are 0.91 and 0.93, respectively (Infrared Services, Inc., 2010). The average emissivity of attic insulation, including fiberglass and cellulose, is 0.85 (ASHRAE, 2009). Therefore, assuming a deck temperature of 130 °F and a top-of-insulation temperature of 110 °F, which are not uncommon values in most parts of the U.S. during the summertime; and assuming the deck to be OSB and the insulation fiberglass, this equation gives the rate of radiant heat transfer as 21.4 Btu/hr ft². A typical value for an IRCC is about 0.23 (Yarbrough, 2006), so depending on exactly how the IRCC is applied, the total radiant heat transfer rate can be reduced by as much as 72.4%.





Roof Emissivity	Ceiling Insulation Emissivity	Representative Case	Radiant Heat Transfer Rate (Btu/hr ft²)	Percent Reduction with Respect to Base Case
0.93	0.85	Base Case ⁽¹⁾ (Standard Attic)	21.4	-
0.29 ⁽²⁾	0.85	IRCC applied to roof decking	7.38	65.5 %
0.23	0.85	IRCC applied to roof decking and rafters/trusses	5.92	72.4 %

(1) The base case is comprised of standard OSB decking and plywood rafters/trusses, with no radiant barrier or Interior Radiation Control Coating of any kind.

(2) Based on the weighted emissivity of a typical IRCC (0.23 emissivity) and of typical wood rafters (0.87 emissivity) for ratios of 90.6% for IRCC and 9.4% for wood rafters.

The results summarized in this document, both experimental and computorial, are given in as ceiling heat flux reductions and space cooling load reductions as percentages to simplify comparisons between buildings with and without IRCCs. The effectiveness (i.e., the "thermal performance") of IRCCs is often an indication of the percent reductions that IRCCs produce.

Two well-established and widely accepted methods for evaluating the performance of IRCCs are laboratory tests and computer simulations. Laboratory tests have the advantage that several parameters, such as roof temperature and wind speed, can be controlled, which allows first order parameters, such as ceiling heat fluxes, to be isolated and studied. Although laboratory tests are well received and are essential in the study of IRCCs, one of the short comings is that conditions are not entirely reproduced in a laboratory setting. As a result, most laboratory experiments are carried out under steady-state conditions, which are not representative of the conditions in which buildings operate. Another way to evaluate the thermal performance of IRCCs is computer simulation using mathematical models. These mathematical models can approximate and take into account other variables, like outdoor (weather-like) conditions, not entirely reproduced in a laboratory setting.

eason	Insulation	Nominal Insulation	Testing	Method		Ceiling Heat Flow Reductions Over Test Period (%) Summer												City, St	CDD	Climatic Zone	Ventilation				Occupied	upied	Comments	Average	
			FIOLOCOT		-5	0-4	5 - 9	10 - 14	15 - 19	20 - 24			35 - 39	40 - 44	45 - 49	50 - 54	55 - 59	60 -	-		Zone	Ve	ents	FV	NV	N	Y		
	Medina (2010)														45				Minneapolis, MN	699	6	S	G	Х		Х			
	Medina (2010)												37						Washington, DC	1,243	4	S	G	X		X			1
	Medina (2010)											34							Denver, CO	696	5	S	G	Х		Х			1
	Medina (2010)					J						33							Charlotte, NC	1,681	3	S	G	х		х			1
bo	Medina (2010)											32							Atlanta, GA	1,810	3	S	G	Х		Х			
ng	Medina (2010)											31							Louisville, KY	1,443	4	S	G	Х		Х			
. =	Medina (2010)			IRCC (E =								30							Kansas City, MO	1,676	4	S	G	Х		Х			
0	Medina (2010)	R-19	Side-by-Side	0.19)		1					26								Sacramento, CA	1,248	3	S	G	х		х			25%
ŏ	Medina (2010)			0.15)							25								Riverside, CA	1,863	3	S	G	Х		х			
ŭ	Medina (2010)									24									Miami, FL	4,361	1	S	G	Х		х			
-	Medina (2010)									22									Salt Lake City, UT	1,066	5	S	G	Х		Х			
	Medina (2010)									22									San Antonio, TX	3,038	2	S	G	Х		Х			
	Medina (2010)						7												Phoenix, AZ	4,189	2	S	G	Х		X			
	Medina (2010)						5												Las Vegas, NV	3,214	3	S	G	Х		х			
	Medina (2010)					2													San Francisco, CA	142	3	S	G	X		X			

Tegend: CDD – Cooling Degree Days, HDD – hearing Degree Days, IRCC = Interior Radiation Control Coating, FVE Forced Ventilation, NVE Natural Ventilation, S = Soffit Vent, G = Gable Vent, R = Ridge Vent, P = Power Fan, ACH = Air Changes per Hour, N/A = Not Applicable, (-) = Not Specified





		Nominal				Cooling	Cooling				Space Load F	Reduction (%											Includes	s Ducts in the	Duct Insulation		
ison	Reference	Insulation Level Model Metho		Method	Ceiling Area	Load Base	Load w/RB				2					City, St	CDD	Climatic Zone		Ventile	ation			ttic	Level	Duct Leakage Ra	Ave
		R-Value			Alea	(kWh)	(kWh)								30->			Zone	Vents		FV	NV	Y	N		Supply Retu	n
						101	69								32	CA Climatic Zone 1		3									
						3,931	3,457				12					CA Climatic Zone 2	-	3									
						697	563					19				CA Climatic Zone 3	•	3									
						2,555	2,195				14					CA Climatic Zone 4	-	3									
						820	648						21			CA Climatic Zone 5	•	3									
						1,099	905					18				CA Climatic Zone 6	-	3									
						1,849	1,543					17				CA Climatic Zone 7	-	3									
	Enercomp (2008)	R-11	Micropas	IRCC	1,500	3,953	3,430				13					CA Climatic Zone 8	-	3				1/300	x		R-4.2	Duct Leakage Fact	r =
	Enercomp (2000)		witeropus	(E = 0.20)	1,500	5,483	4,854				11					CA Climatic Zone 9	•	3				1,000	^			0.89	
						7,382	6,623				10					CA Climatic Zone 10	-	4									
						7,691	7,008			9						CA Climatic Zone 11	-	3									
						5,962	5,410			9						CA Climatic Zone 12	-	3									
						10,790	9,842			9		-				CA Climatic Zone 13	-	3									
						9,086	8,355			8						CA Climatic Zone 14	-	4									
						19,593	18,312	-		7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					CA Climatic Zone 15	-	5									
						2,530	2,202				13					CA Climatic Zone 16	-	2									
	DD = Cooling Degree Day /ent, P = Power Fan, S =				ir Change	s per Hour, A	S = Alumii	nized Side,	f/ = Facin	ig, N/A =	Not Applic	able, (-) =	Not Specifi		D BY THE I	NTERIOR RADIATIC	ON CONTR		GS								
					ir Change	s per Hour, A	S = Alumii	nized Side,	f/ = Facin	g, N/A =	Not Applic	able, (-) =	Not Specifi		D BY THE I	NTERIOR RADIATIC			GS				Include	e Davete in ti	a Duct Invulati		
		Soffit Vent. G Nominal Insulation			(CONTIN Ceiling	UED) SIMU	ATED RES Heating Load	nized Side,	f/ = Facin	g, N/A =	Not Applic HEATING L Space Load	able, (-) =	Not Specifi		D BY THE I	NTERIOR RADIATIC		Climatic	GS	Vent	ilation			s Ducts in th Attic	e Duct Insulati	on Duct Leakage	tate
	/ent, P = Power Fan, S =	Soffit Vent. G	= Gable Vent	. ACH = A	ir Change	s per Hour, A	S = Alumin ATED RES Heating	nized Side,	f/ = Facin	g, N/A =	Not Applic HEATING L Space Load	able, (-) =	Not Specifi	PRODUCED					GS Ven		tilation	NV				Duct Leakage	
	/ent, P = Power Fan, S =	Soffit Vent. G Nominal Insulation Level	= Gable Vent	. ACH = A	(CONTIN Ceiling	UED) SIMU	S = Alumin ATED RES Heating Load w/RB	SULTS HIG	f/ = Facin HLIGHTIN	g, N/A =	Not Applic HEATING L Space Load	able, (-) =	Not Specifi UCTIONS P	PRODUCED				Climatic				NV		Attic		on Duct Leakage Supply Re	
	/ent, P = Power Fan, S =	Soffit Vent. G Nominal Insulation Level	= Gable Vent	. ACH = A	(CONTIN Ceiling	S per Hour, A IUED) SIMUI Heating Load Base (MMBtu)	S = Alumin ATED RES Heating Load w/RB (MMBtu)	SULTS HIG	f/ = Facin HLIGHTIN 0 - 4	g, N/A =	Not Applic HEATING L Space Load	able, (-) =	Not Specifi UCTIONS P	PRODUCED		City, St		Climatic Zone				NV		Attic		Duct Leakage	
	/ent, P = Power Fan, S =	Soffit Vent. G Nominal Insulation Level	= Gable Vent	. ACH = A	(CONTIN Ceiling	UED) SIMUI Heating Load Base (MMBtu) 3.84	S = Alumin ATED RES Heating Load w/RB (MMBtu) 3.74	SULTS HIG	f/ = Facin HLIGHTIN 0 - 4 3	g, N/A =	Not Applic HEATING L Space Load	able, (-) =	Not Specifi UCTIONS P	PRODUCED		City, St CA Climatic Zone 1		Climatic Zone 3				NV		Attic		Duct Leakage	
	/ent, P = Power Fan, S =	Soffit Vent. G Nominal Insulation Level	= Gable Vent	. ACH = A	(CONTIN Ceiling	UED) SIMUI Heating Load Base (MMBtu) 3.84 2.99	S = Alumin ATED RES Heating Load w/RB (MMBtu) 3.74 2.89	SULTS HIG	f/ = Facin HLIGHTIN 0 - 4 3 3	g, N/A =	Not Applic HEATING L Space Load	able, (-) =	Not Specifi UCTIONS P	PRODUCED		City, St CA Climatic Zone 1 CA Climatic Zone 2	HDD - -	Climatic Zone 3 3				NV		Attic		Duct Leakage	
	/ent, P = Power Fan, S =	Soffit Vent. G Nominal Insulation Level	= Gable Vent	. ACH = A	(CONTIN Ceiling	UED) SIMU Heating Load Base (MMBtu) 3.84 2.99 2.19	ATED RES Heating Load w/RB (MMBtu) 3.74 2.89 2.13	SULTS HIG	f/ = Facin HLIGHTIN 0 - 4 3 3 3	g, N/A =	Not Applic HEATING L Space Load	able, (-) =	Not Specifi UCTIONS P	PRODUCED		City, St CA Climatic Zone 1 CA Climatic Zone 2 CA Climatic Zone 3	HDD - - -	Climatic Zone 3 3 3				NV		Attic		Duct Leakage	
	/ent, P = Power Fan, S =	Soffit Vent. G Nominal Insulation Level	= Gable Vent	. ACH = A	(CONTIN Ceiling	UED) SIMU Heating Load Base (MMBtu) 3.84 2.99 2.19 2.25	S = Alumin ATED RES Heating Load w/RB (MMBtu) 3.74 2.89 2.13 2.18	SULTS HIG	f/ = Facin HLIGHTINA 0 - 4 3 3 3 3	g, N/A =	Not Applic HEATING L Space Load	able, (-) =	Not Specifi UCTIONS P	PRODUCED		City, St CA Climatic Zone 1 CA Climatic Zone 2 CA Climatic Zone 3 CA Climatic Zone 4	HDD - - -	Climatic Zone 3 3 3 3 3				NV		Attic		Duct Leakage	
ge V	/ent, P = Power Fan, S =	Soffit Vent. G Nominal Insulation Level	= Gable Vent	. ACH = A	(CONTIN Ceiling	UED) SIMU Heating Load Base (MMBtu) 3.84 2.99 2.19 2.25 2.22	ATED RES Load w/RB (MMBtu) 3.74 2.89 2.13 2.18 2.15	SULTS HIG	f/ = Facin HLIGHTIN 0 - 4 3 3 3 3 3 3	g, N/A =	Not Applic HEATING L Space Load	able, (-) =	Not Specifi UCTIONS P	PRODUCED		City, St CA Climatic Zone 1 CA Climatic Zone 2 CA Climatic Zone 3 CA Climatic Zone 4 CA Climatic Zone 5	HDD - - -	Climatic Zone 3 3 3 3 3 3 3				NV		Attic		Duct Leakage	
ge V	Pent, P = Power Fan, S =	Nominal Insulation Level R-Value	= Gable Vent	. ACH = A	(CONTIN Ceiling Area	UED) SIMU Heating Load Base (MMBtu) 3.84 2.99 2.19 2.25 2.22 1.04	ATED RES Heating Load w/RB (MMBtu) 3.74 2.89 2.13 2.18 2.15 1.00	SULTS HIG	f/ = Facin HLIGHTIN 0 - 4 3 3 3 3 3 3	g space i	Not Applic HEATING L Space Load	able, (-) =	Not Specifi UCTIONS P	PRODUCED		City, St CA Climatic Zone 1 CA Climatic Zone 2 CA Climatic Zone 3 CA Climatic Zone 5 CA Climatic Zone 5 CA Climatic Zone 6	HDD 	Climatic Zone 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Ven	ts			Y	Attic	Level	Supply Re	urn
ge V	/ent, P = Power Fan, S =	Soffit Vent. G Nominal Insulation Level	= Gable Vent	. ACH = A	(CONTIN Ceiling	UED) SIMUU Heating Load Base (MMBtu) 3.84 2.99 2.19 2.25 2.22 1.04 0.92	ATED RES Heating Load w/RB (MMBtu) 3.74 2.89 2.13 2.18 2.15 1.00 0.87	SULTS HIG	f/ = Facin HLIGHTIN 0 - 4 3 3 3 3 3 3	G SPACE 5 - 9	Not Applic HEATING L Space Load	able, (-) =	Not Specifi UCTIONS P	PRODUCED		City, St CA Climatic Zone 1 CA Climatic Zone 2 CA Climatic Zone 3 CA Climatic Zone 5 CA Climatic Zone 5 CA Climatic Zone 6 CA Climatic Zone 7	HDD 	Climatic Zone 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3				NV		Attic		Duct Leakage	urn
te V	Pent, P = Power Fan, S =	Nominal Insulation Level R-Value	= Gable Vent	Method	(CONTIN Ceiling Area	UED) SIMUU Heating Load Base (MMBtu) 3.84 2.99 2.19 2.25 2.22 1.04 0.92 1.07	ATED RES Heating Load w/R8 (MMBtu) 3.74 2.89 2.13 2.18 2.15 1.00 0.87 1.02	SULTS HIG	f/ = Facin HLIGHTINA 3 3 3 3 4	G SPACE 5 - 9	Not Applic HEATING L Space Load	able, (-) =	Not Specifi UCTIONS P	PRODUCED		City, St CA Climatic Zone 1 CA Climatic Zone 2 CA Climatic Zone 3 CA Climatic Zone 4 CA Climatic Zone 5 CA Climatic Zone 7 CA Climatic Zone 7	HDD	Climatic Zone 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Ven	ts			Y	Attic	Level	Duct Leakage Fa	urn
	Pent, P = Power Fan, S =	Nominal Insulation Level R-Value	= Gable Vent	Method	(CONTIN Ceiling Area	EVER J 222 104 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105	ATED RES Heating Load w/RB (MMBtu) 3.74 2.89 2.13 2.18 2.15 1.00 0.87 1.02 1.04	SULTS HIG	f/ = Facin HLIGHTIN 0 - 4 3 3 3 3 3 4 4	G SPACE 5 - 9	Not Applic HEATING L Space Load	able, (-) =	Not Specifi UCTIONS P	PRODUCED		City, St CA Climatic Zone 1 CA Climatic Zone 2 CA Climatic Zone 3 CA Climatic Zone 4 CA Climatic Zone 6 CA Climatic Zone 7 CA Climatic Zone 9 CA Climatic Zone 9 CA Climatic Zone 9	HDD	Climatic Zone 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Ven	ts			Y	Attic	Level	Duct Leakage Fa	urn
te V	Pent, P = Power Fan, S =	Nominal Insulation Level R-Value	= Gable Vent	Method	(CONTIN Ceiling Area	EVED) SIMUL Heating Load Base (MMBtu) 2.29 2.19 2.25 2.22 1.04 0.92 1.07 1.08 1.45	ATED RES Heating Load w/RB (MMBtu) 3.74 2.89 2.13 2.18 2.15 1.00 0.87 1.02 0.87 1.02 1.04 1.38	SULTS HIG	f/ = Facin HLIGHTIN 0 · 4 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4	G SPACE 5 - 9	Not Applic HEATING L Space Load	able, (-) =	Not Specifi UCTIONS P	PRODUCED		CA Climatic Zone 1 CA Climatic Zone 1 CA Climatic Zone 2 CA Climatic Zone 3 CA Climatic Zone 5 CA Climatic Zone 6 CA Climatic Zone 7 CA Climatic Zone 7 CA Climatic Zone 8 CA Climatic Zone 9	HDD	Climatic Zone 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4	Ven	ts			Y	Attic	Level	Duct Leakage Fa	urn
ge V	Pent, P = Power Fan, S =	Nominal Insulation Level R-Value	= Gable Vent	Method	(CONTIN Ceiling Area	UED) SIMUU Heating Load Base (MMBtu) 3.84 2.99 2.10 2.25 2.22 1.04 0.92 1.07 1.08 1.45 2.88	ATED RES Heating Load w/RB (MMBtu) 3.74 2.89 2.13 2.13 2.15 1.00 0.87 1.02 1.02 1.02 1.38 2.80	SULTS HIG	f/ = Facin HLIGHTIN 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 3	G SPACE 5 - 9	Not Applic HEATING L Space Load	able, (-) =	Not Specifi UCTIONS P	PRODUCED		City, St CA Climatic Zone 1 CA Climatic Zone 2 CA Climatic Zone 3 CA Climatic Zone 4 CA Climatic Zone 6 CA Climatic Zone 6 CA Climatic Zone 7 CA Climatic Zone 9 CA Climatic Zone 9 CA Climatic Zone 10 CA Climatic Zone 10	HDD	Climatic Zone 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 3	Ven	ts			Y	Attic	Level	Duct Leakage Fa	urn
ge V	Pent, P = Power Fan, S =	Nominal Insulation Level R-Value	= Gable Vent	Method	(CONTIN Ceiling Area	Example 2.25 Example 2.25 Ex	S = Alumin Heating Load w/R8 (MMBtu) 3.74 2.89 2.13 2.18 2.15 1.00 0.87 1.02 1.04 1.30 2.80 2.63	SULTS HIG	f/ = Facin HLIGHTINA 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 3 3 3	G SPACE 5 - 9	Not Applic HEATING L Space Load	able, (-) =	Not Specifi UCTIONS P	PRODUCED		City, St CA Climatic Zone 1 CA Climatic Zone 2 CA Climatic Zone 4 CA Climatic Zone 5 CA Climatic Zone 5 CA Climatic Zone 7 CA Climatic Zone 10 CA Climatic Zone 10 CA Climatic Zone 10 CA Climatic Zone 10 CA Climatic Zone 10	HDD	Climatic Zone 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 3 3 3	Ven	ts			Y	Attic	Level	Duct Leakage Fa	urn
ge V	Pent, P = Power Fan, S =	Nominal Insulation Level R-Value	= Gable Vent	Method	(CONTIN Ceiling Area	s per Hour, A Heating Load Base (MMBBu) 3.84 2.99 2.25 2.22 1.04 0.92 1.07 1.08 1.45 2.88 2.70 2.13	S = Alumi: Heating Load w/RB (MMBu) 3.74 2.89 2.13 2.13 2.15 1.00 0.87 1.02 1.04 1.38 2.89 2.15 2.07	SULTS HIG	f/ = Facin HLIGHTINA 0 - 4 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 3 3 3 3 3	G SPACE 5 - 9	Not Applic HEATING L Space Load	able, (-) =	Not Specifi UCTIONS P	PRODUCED		City, St CA Climatic Zone 1 CA Climatic Zone 2 CA Climatic Zone 2 CA Climatic Zone 4 CA Climatic Zone 7 CA Climatic Zone 7 CA Climatic Zone 9 CA Climatic Zone 9 CA Climatic Zone 10 CA Climatic Zone 10 CA Climatic Zone 10 CA Climatic Zone 11 CA Climatic Zone 12 CA Climatic Zone 14 CA Clim	HDD	Climatic Zone 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Ven	ts			Y	Attic	Level	Duct Leakage Fa	urn
ge V	Pent, P = Power Fan, S =	Nominal Insulation Level R-Value	= Gable Vent	Method	(CONTIN Ceiling Area	s per Hour, A IUED) SIMUI Heating Load Base (MMBtw) 3.84 2.99 2.19 2.22 1.04 0.92 1.07 1.08 1.45 2.88 2.70 2.38 2.70 2.35	S = Alumin Heating Load w/RB (MMBtu) 3.74 2.89 2.13 2.18 2.18 2.13 1.00 0.87 1.02 1.02 1.02 1.02 1.02 2.80 2.84 2.80 2.84 2.85	SULTS HIG	f/ = Facin HLIGHTINA 0 - 4 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 3 3 3 3 3	G SPACE I 5 - 9 5 - 9	Not Applic HEATING L Space Load	able, (-) =	Not Specifi UCTIONS P	PRODUCED		City, 51 CA Climatic Zone 1 CA Climatic Zone 2 CA Climatic Zone 3 CA Climatic Zone 3 CA Climatic Zone 4 CA Climatic Zone 7 CA Climatic Zone 1 CA Climatic Zone 11 CA Climatic Zone 11 CA Climatic Zone 11 CA Climatic Zone 12 CA Climatic Zone 12	HDD	Climatic Zone 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 3 3 3 4 4	Ven	ts			Y	Attic	Level	Duct Leakage Fa	urn

	EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS HIGHLIGHTING CEILING HEAT FLOW REDUCTIONS PRODUCED BY THE INTERIOR RADIATION CONTROL COATINGS DURING THE COOLING SEASON																						
Season	Social Beforen	Reference	Testing	Method		Ceiling Heat Flow Reductions Over Test Period (%)														CDD	Climatic	Comments	Average
Jeason	hererence		Protocol	Method							Sum	mer							City, St	CDD	Zone	Comments	Average
					-5	0 - 4	5 - 9	10 - 14	15 - 19	20 - 24	25 - 29	30 - 34	35 - 39	40 - 44	45 - 49	50 - 54	55 - 59	60					
Cooling	Swami and Fairey (1986)	R-19	Laboratory Controlled	IRCC								32							N/A			Flat Roof	32%
Legend: (CDD = Cooling Degree Day	/s. HDD = He	ating Degree	Davs, IRCO	C = Inte	rior Rad	iation (Control	Coating	r. N/A =	Not Ar	plicabl	e. (-) =	Not Spe	cified								

Data from laboratory controlled experiments indicate that IRCCs reduce the summer ceiling heat flows by as much as 32% in an attic with R-19 ceiling insulation. Simulated data indicate that IRCCs would reduce the summer heat flows across the ceiling by an average of 25% in attics with R-19 ceiling insulation. Simulated results predict that IRCCs installed in attics of residential buildings would reduce the space cooling load by an average of 14% and the space heating load by an average of 4%, in both cases for attics with an insulation level of R-11, where the air handling ducts are placed in the attic.

Depending on your exact application, IRCCs can contribute to the overall thermal performance of a building, but many variables need to be considered, like the exact emittance of the coating, the level of existing insulation (R-value), and the climate in which the IRCC is installed, to name a few. For more information on the research described above, or on Interior Radiation Control Coatings (IRCCs) in general, please contact us.



Technical Bulletin #112

REFERENCES

ASHRAE, 2009, Handbook of Fundamentals, American Society of Heating, Refrigeration, and Air Conditioning Engineers, Atlanta, GA.

ASTM C1321. 2009. Standard Practice for Installation and Use of Interior Radiation Control Coating Systems (IRCCS) in Building Construction. Philadelphia: American Society for Testing Materials.

Enercomp, Inc., 2008, "Liquid RB," Report Prepared at Enercomp, Inc., Auburn, CA for STS Coatings, Inc.

Enercomp, Inc., 2010. Web. < http://micropas.nittler.us/archive.html>.

Infrared Services, Inc., 2010, "Emissivity Values for Common Materials," Web. http://www.infrared-thermography.com/training.htm

Medina, M.A. 2000a. Radiant Barriers: Performance Revealed," Home Energy Magazine, September/October issue.

Medina, M.A., 2010, "Evaluation of the Performance of HeatBloc Ultra in Several Cities Across the U.S.," Report prepared at the Civil, Environmental, and Architectural Engineering Department, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS for STS Coatings, Inc.

Swami, M.V., and Fairey, P. 1986. Comparative testing of a low emissivity paint. Report FSEC-CR-155-86, Florida Solar Energy Center, U.S.A.

Yarbrough, D. W., 2006, "Thermal Emittance Evaluation of Coatings for Use as Interior Radiation Control Coatings," http://www.rimainternational.org/technical/ircc.html.

Reflective Insulation Manufacturers Association International (RIMA-I) Toll-Free: (800) 279-4123 E-Mail: <u>rima@rima.net</u> www.rimainternational.org

The Reflective Insulation Manufacturers Association International (RIMA-I), its members, and/or agents, make no guarantee as to, and assume no responsibility for, the correctness, sufficiency or completeness of the information contained herein. The information provided herein is intended to be a guide to the concept and applications of reflective insulation.

TB #112

Copyright 2014 by the Reflective Insulation Manufacturers Association International

05/14